1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 7 8 No. CV-13-02104-PHX-SPL Pipeline Technologies Incorporated, 9 Plaintiff, **ORDER** 10 VS. 11 Telog Instruments Incorporated, et al., 12 Defendants. 13 14 Before the Court is Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 85). 15 Defendants seek summary judgment on the ground that the disputed claims of U.S. Patent 16 17 7,219,553 ('553 patent) are invalid for indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. § 112(b). (Doc. 85 at 1-2.) Specifically, Defendants argue that Claim 1 of the '553 patent is indefinite 18 because it combines two separate statutory classes of invention and therefore fails to 19 particularly claim the subject matter the inventor regards as the invention. (Doc. 85 at 2-20 4.) Defendants rely on the following portion of Claim 1: 21 22 wherein the signal processor records data samples showing dynamic transient pressures above a threshold level to internal memory until 23 pressure returns to a steady state or until the user specifies. 24 25 (Doc. 85 at 3.) The Court notes that both parties have identified the above-cited phrase as a 26 disputed claim term to be construed by the Court at the *Markman* hearing scheduled on 27

September 30, 2014. (See Doc. 83 at 12-13; Doc. 84.) Further, the parties have filed

28

Case 2:13-cv-02104-SPL Document 87 Filed 08/19/14 Page 2 of 2

briefs and a joint claim construction chart setting forth their proposed claim constructions for the disputed phrase. (Docs. 74, 75, 83.) Given the current posture of this case, Defendants fail to address in their motion why summary judgment would be appropriate before the parties have had the opportunity to present their arguments and evidence at the scheduled Markman hearing. As the Court's ultimate construction of the disputed phrase in Claim 1 may have a direct bearing on Defendants' present arguments regarding invalidity, the Court finds that this motion is premature and will be denied without prejudice. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 85) is denied without prejudice. Dated this 19th day of August, 2014. United States District Vudge